Case 2:09-cv-07084-DMG -PLA Document 146 Filed 04/14/11 Page 1 of 3 Page ID

testify truthfully and completely to the following. I am filing this Declaration in Opposition to Plaintiffs' ex parte application seeking exclusion of all of the Defendants' documentary evidence in this case

- 2. The Plaintiffs may not bring this motion ex parte for two reasons: (1) Plaintiff's failed to meet and confer before filing their Application; and (2) a motion of such Draconian proportion is *outcome determinative* and is therefore substantive and not procedural, and therefore may not be made by ex parte application.
- 3. We are aware that the Defendants' list of Exhibits is still being trimmed, and is also still in the process of becoming sufficiently descriptive to allow, not only Plaintiffs' counsel, but Defendants' counsel as well, to identify the documents described. We will also be reformatting the document once the input phase is completed. We are working on these tasks now and expect to complete the task today.
- 4. The cause of this laboriously-slow performance is substantially due to the fault of the Plaintiffs. Rather than preparing a List of Exhibits for trial, the Plaintiffs Bates numbered every document they obtained during discovery, including approximately 2,200 documents consisting of approximately 40,000 pages. Plaintiffs' law firm, which has a number of attorneys and paralegals working on this case, and which has billed to day over \$700,000 in legal fees for this representation, then delivered this mammoth list to the Defendants, and required the Defendants to use the Plaintiffs' Bates numbering. The Defendants are represented by a *solo practitioner* who has limited resources. It would be ingenuous to the ex-

27

28

treme for Plaintiffs' counsel to pretend that their wholesale Exhibit-listing did not overwhelm this solo practitioner's resources.

5. Nevertheless, the Defendants have been laboring night and day, on little sleep, and with great good faith, to reduce the size of the List of Exhibits and much more clearly identify the documents in plain English. That task will be completed today. The Defendants have already substantially performed this work, already reducing the list to about 300 documents. Within hours, the improved document descriptions will be completed.

The Defendants therefore Respectfully Pray the Court to DENY the Plaintiffs' ex parte application or, in the alternative, GRANT the Defendants at least until tomorrow, Friday, to fully brief their Opposition.

I swear under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 14th day of April, 2011, in Encino, California.

April 14, 2011

LAW OFFICES OF EDGAR B. PEASE III

Edgar B. Pease III

Attorney for Defendants Heal The World Foundation

and United Fleet